arXiv:0709.1032v4 [math.SP] 27 Jun 2008

WEYL ASYMPTOTICS FOR MAGNETIC SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS AND DE GENNES' BOUNDARY CONDITION

AYMAN KACHMAR

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the discrete spectrum of the self-adjoint realization of the semi-classical Schrödinger operator with constant magnetic field and associated with the de Gennes (Fourier/Robin) boundary condition. We derive an asymptotic expansion of the number of eigenvalues below the essential spectrum (Weyl-type asymptotics). The methods of proof relies on results concerning the asymptotic behavior of the first eigenvalue obtained in a previous work [A. Kachmar, J. Math. Phys. **47** (7) 072106 (2006)].

1. Introduction and main results

Let $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^2$ be an open domain with regular and compact boundary. Given a smooth function $\gamma\in C^\infty(\partial\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ and a number $\alpha\geq\frac{1}{2}$, we consider the Schrödinger operator with magnetic field :

$$P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}=-(h\nabla-iA)^2, \tag{1.1}$$

whose domain is,

$$D\left(P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}\right)=\big\{u\in L^2(\Omega)\quad:\quad (h\nabla-iA)^j\in L^2(\Omega),\ j=1,2, \\ \nu\cdot(h\nabla-iA)u+h^\alpha\gamma\,u=0\text{ on }\partial\Omega\big\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here ν is the unit outward normal vector of the boundary $\partial\Omega$, $A\in H^1(\Omega;\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a vector field and $\operatorname{curl}A$ is the magnetic field. Functions in the domain of $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ satisfy the *de Gennes* boundary condition.

The operator $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ arises from the analysis of the onset of superconductivity for a superconductor placed adjacent to another materials. For the physical motivation and the mathematical justification of considering this type of boundary condition and not the usual Neumann condition ($\gamma\equiv0$), we invite the interested reader to see the book of de Gennes [6] and the papers [10, 11, 12, 13]. We would like to mention that when $\gamma\equiv0$, the operator $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ has been the subject of many papers, see [4] and the references therein.

Date: June 27, 2008.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 81Q10, Secondary 35J10, 35P15, 82D55.

Key words and phrases. Magnetic Schrödinger operator, spectral function, discrete spectrum, semiclassical analysis.

This work has been partially supported by the European Research Network 'Postdoctoral Training Program in Mathematical Analysis of Large Quantum Systems' with contract number HPRN-CT-2002-00277 and by the ESF Scientific Programme in Spectral Theory and Partial Differential Equations (SPECT).

We shall restrict ourselves with the case of *constant* magnetic field, namely when

$$\operatorname{curl} A = 1 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}. \tag{1.3}$$

It follows from the well-known inequality

$$\int_\Omega |(h\nabla - iA)u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x \geq h \int_\Omega |u|^2 \, \mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall\, u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \tag{1.4}$$

and from a 'magnetic' Persson's Lemma (cf. [16, 1]), that the bottom of the essential spectrum of $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ is above h. Assuming that the boundary of Ω is smooth and compact, then it follows from [10] that (in the parameter regime $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$), the operator $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ has discrete spectrum below h. Thus, given $b_0 < 1$, one is led to estimate the size of the discrete spectrum below $b_0 h$, i.e. we look for the asymptotic behavior of the number

$$N(\alpha, \gamma\,;\, b_0 h) \tag{1.5}$$

of eigenvalues of $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ (taking multiplicities into account) included in the interval $]0, b_0 h]$.

For the case with *non-constant* magnetic field and *Neumann* boundary condition, this problem has been analyzed by R. Frank [5] (related questions are also treated in [2, 8, 18, 19]). As we shall see, depending on the type of the boundary condition, one can produce much additional eigenvalues below the essential spectrum.

To state the results concerning $N(\alpha, \gamma\,;\, b_0 h)$, we need to introduce some notation. Let us introduce the *smooth* functions, which arise from the analysis of the model-operator in the half-plane (see [10, Section II]),

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \ni (\gamma, \xi) \mapsto \mu_1(\gamma, \xi), \quad \mathbb{R} \ni \gamma \mapsto \Theta(\gamma), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\mu_1(\gamma, \xi) = \inf_{u \in B^1(\mathbb{R}_+),\, u \neq 0} \frac{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \left(|u'(t)|^2 + |(t-\xi)u(t)|^2\right) \, \mathrm{d}t + \gamma\, |u(0)|^2}{\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} |u(t)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}t},$$

$$\Theta(\gamma) = \inf_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} \mu_1(\gamma, \xi),$$

and the space $B^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ consists of functions in the space $H^1(\mathbb{R}_+) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}_+; t^2\, \mathrm{d}t)$. Actually, $\mu_1(\gamma.\xi)$ is the first eigenvalue of the self-adjoint operator

$$-\partial_t^2 + (t-\xi)^2 \quad \text{in} \quad L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

associated with the boundary condition $u'(0) = \gamma\, u(0)$. The eigenvalues of this operator form an increasing sequence which we denote $\left(\mu_j(\gamma, \xi)\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, see Subsection 2.1 for more details.

When $\gamma = 0$, we write as in the usual case (see [4])

$$\mu_1(\xi) := \mu_1(0, \xi), \quad \Theta_0 := \Theta(0).$$

Furthermore, we denote by,

$$C_1(\gamma) = \frac{1}{3}\left(1 + \gamma\sqrt{\Theta(\gamma) + \gamma^2}\right)^2 \Theta'(\gamma), \quad C_1 = C_1(0). \tag{1.7}$$

We are ready now to state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that* $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $\Theta_0 < b_0 < 1$. *Then as* $h \to 0$,

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,b_0h) = \left(\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2\pi\sqrt{h}}\,\big|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}:\ \mu_1(\xi)<b_0\}\big|\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.8}$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ *and* $\Theta(\gamma_0) < b_0 < 1$, *then as* $h \to 0$,

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,b_0h) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{h}}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\big|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}:\ \mu_j(\gamma(s),\xi)<b_0\}\big|\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.9}$$

Here

$$\gamma_0 = \min_{s\in\partial\Omega}\gamma(s). \tag{1.10}$$

If we suppose furthermore that $\gamma_0 \geq 0$, *then (1.9) simplifies to*

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,b_0h) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{h}}\int_{\partial\Omega}\big|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}:\ \mu_1(\gamma(s),\xi)<b_0\}\big|\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.11}$$

By taking $\gamma\equiv 0$, we recover in Theorem 1.1 the result of R. Frank [5]. We notice that when $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and γ is constant, we have additional eigenvalues than the usual case of Neumann boundary condition if $\gamma<0$ and less eigenvalues if $\gamma>0$. This is natural as we apply the variational min-max principle. However, when $\gamma_0=0$ or $\alpha>\frac{1}{2}$, Theorem 1.1 fails to give a comparison with the Neumann case, i.e. we have no more information about the size of the difference:

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,b_0h)-N(0;\,b_0h).$$

This is at least a motivation for some of the next results, where we take $b_0=b_0(h)$ asymptotically close to Θ_0, each time with an appropriate scale (this will cover also the case $b_0=\Theta_0$).

Theorem 1.2. *If* $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$ *then for all* $a\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$N\left(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,h\Theta_0+3aC_1\,h^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{h^{\frac{3}{2}-\alpha}\sqrt{\Theta_0}}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{(a-\gamma(s))_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.12}$$

In the particular case when the function γ is constant, the leading order term in (1.12) will vanish when a is taken equal to γ. In this specific regime, Theorem 1.5 (more precisely the formula in (1.16)) will substitute Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that* $\alpha=1/2$. *Let* $0<\varrho<\frac{1}{2}$, $\zeta_0>0$, $h_0>0$ *and* $]0,h_0]\ni h\mapsto c_0(h)\in\mathbb{R}_+$ *a function such that* $\lim_{h\to0}c_0(h)=\infty$. *If*

$$c_0(h)\,h^{1/2}\leq|\lambda-\Theta(\gamma_0)|\leq\zeta_0h^{\varrho},\quad\forall\ h\in]0,h_0],$$

then we have the asymptotic formula,

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,h\lambda) = \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{\frac{[\lambda-\Theta(\gamma(s))]_+}{h\Theta'(\gamma(s))\sqrt{\Theta(\gamma(s))+\gamma(s)^2}}}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)), \tag{1.13}$$

where the function $\Theta(\cdot)$ being introduced in (1.6).

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.3 becomes of particular interest when the function γ has a unique non-degenerate minimum and $\lambda=\Theta(\gamma_0)+ah^\beta$, for some $a\in\mathbb{R}_+$ and $\beta\in]0,\frac{1}{2}[$. In this case, we have in the support of $[\lambda-\Theta(\gamma(s))]_+$,

$$\Theta(\gamma(s))=\Theta(\gamma_0)+c_1\,s^2+\mathcal{O}(h^{3\beta/2}),$$

for an explicit constant $c_1>0$ determined by the functions γ and Θ. Therefore, the asymptotic expansion (1.13) reads in this case, for some explicit constant $c_2>0$,

$$N(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,h\lambda)=c_2\,a\sqrt{a}\,h^{\beta-\frac{1}{2}}(1+o(1)). \tag{1.14}$$

The next theorem deals with the regime where the scalar curvature becomes effective in the asymptotic expansions.

Theorem 1.5.

1. *Assume that $\alpha=1$. Then, for all $a\in\mathbb{R}$, the following asymptotic expansion holds as $h\to0$,*

$$N\left(1,\gamma\,;\,h\Theta_0+aC_1h^{3/2}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{3h^{1/2}\sqrt{\Theta_0}}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{(\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s)-3\gamma(s)+a)_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)), \tag{1.15}$$

   where κ_{r} is the scalar curvature of $\partial\Omega$.

2. *If the function γ is constant, then for all $\alpha>1/2$ and $a\in\mathbb{R}$, we have the asymptotic expansion,*

$$N\left(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,h\Theta(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma)+aC_1h^{3/2}\right) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{3h^{1/2}\sqrt{\Theta_0}}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{(\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s)+a)_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.16}$$

3. *If the function γ is constant and $\alpha=1/2$, then for all $a\in\mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$N\left(\alpha,\gamma\,;\,h\Theta(\gamma)+aC_1(\gamma)h^{3/2}\right) = \frac{1+\gamma\sqrt{\Theta(\gamma)+\gamma^2}}{\pi\sqrt{3h^{1/2}\sqrt{\Theta(\gamma)+\gamma^2}}}\left(\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{(\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s)+a)_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)). \tag{1.17}$$

   Here $C_1(\gamma)$ has been defined in (1.7).

The proof of Theorems 1.1-1.5 is through careful estimates in the semi-classical regime of the quadratic form

$$u\mapsto q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)=\int_\Omega|(h\nabla-iA)u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x+h^{1+\alpha}\int_{\partial\Omega}\gamma(s)|u(s)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

These estimates are essentially obtained in [7] when $\gamma \equiv 0$, then adapted to situations involving the de Gennes boundary condition in [10, 14]. We shall follow closely the arguments of [5] but we also require to use various properties of the function $\gamma \mapsto \Theta(\gamma)$ established in [10].

The paper is organized in the following way. Section 2 is devoted to the analysis of the model operator in a half-cylinder when the function γ is constant. Section 3 extends the result obtained for the model case in a half-cylinder for a general domain by which we prove Theorem 1.1. Section 4 deals with model operators on weighted L^2 spaces which serve in proving Theorems 1.2-1.5.

2. Analysis of the model operator

2.1. **A family of one-dimensional differential operators.** Let us recall the main results obtained in [9, 10] concerning a family of differential operators with Robin boundary condition. Given $(\gamma,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, we define the quadratic form,

(2.1)
$$B^1(\mathbb{R}_+)\ni u\mapsto q[\gamma,\xi](u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_+}\left(|u'(t)|^2+|(t-\xi)u(t)|^2\right)dt+\gamma|u(0)|^2,$$

where, for a positive integer $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and a given interval $I\subseteq\mathbb{R}$, the space $B^k(I)$ is defined by :

$$B^k(I)=\{u\in H^k(I);\quad t^ju(t)\in L^2(I),\quad \forall j=1,\cdots,k\}. \tag{2.2}$$

By Friedrichs Theorem, we can associate to the quadratic form (2.1) a self adjoint operator $\mathcal{L}[\gamma,\xi]$ with domain,

$$D(\mathcal{L}[\gamma,\xi])=\{u\in B^2(\mathbb{R}_+);\quad u'(0)=\gamma u(0)\},$$

and associated to the differential operator,

$$\mathcal{L}[\gamma,\xi]=-\partial_t^2+(t-\xi)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

We denote by $\{\mu_j(\gamma,\xi)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $\mathcal{L}[\gamma,\xi]$. When $\gamma=0$ we write,

$$\mu_j(\xi):=\mu_j(0,\xi),\quad \forall j\in\mathbb{N},\quad \mathcal{L}^N[\xi]:=\mathcal{L}[0,\xi]. \tag{2.4}$$

We also denote by $\{\mu_j^D(\xi)\}_{j=1}^{+\infty}$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of the Dirichlet realization of $-\partial_t^2+(t-\xi)^2$.
By the min-max principle, we have,

$$\mu_1(\gamma,\xi)=\inf_{u\in B^1(\mathbb{R}_+),u\neq0}\frac{q[\gamma,\xi](u)}{\|u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let us denote by $\varphi_{\gamma,\xi}$ the positive (and L^2-normalized) first eigenfunction of $\mathcal{L}[\gamma,\xi]$. It is proved in [10] that the functions

$$(\gamma,\xi)\mapsto\mu_1(\gamma,\xi),\quad (\gamma,\xi)\mapsto\varphi_{\gamma,\xi}\in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$$

are regular (i.e. of class C^∞), and we have the following formulae,

$$\partial_\xi\mu_1(\gamma,\xi)=-\left(\mu_1(\gamma,\xi)-\xi^2+\gamma^2\right)|\varphi_{\gamma,\xi}(0)|^2, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\partial_\gamma\mu_1(\gamma,\xi)=|\varphi_{\gamma,\xi}(0)|^2. \tag{2.7}$$

Notice that (2.7) will yield that the function

$$(\gamma,\xi)\mapsto \varphi_{\gamma,\xi}(0)$$

is also regular of class C^∞.
We define the function :

$$\Theta(\gamma)=\inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\mu_1(\gamma,\xi). \tag{2.8}$$

It is a result of [3] that there exists a unique $\xi(\gamma)>0$ such that,

$$\Theta(\gamma)=\mu_1(\gamma,\xi(\gamma)),\quad \Theta(\gamma)<1\,, \tag{2.9}$$

and $\xi(\gamma)$ satisfies (cf. [10]),

$$\xi(\gamma)^2=\Theta(\gamma)+\gamma^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Moreover, the function $\Theta(\gamma)$ is of class C^∞ and satisfies,

$$\Theta'(\gamma)=|\varphi_\gamma(0)|^2, \tag{2.11}$$

where φ_γ is the positive (and L^2-normalized) eigenfunction associated to $\Theta(\gamma)$:

$$\varphi_\gamma=\varphi_{\gamma,\xi(\gamma)}. \tag{2.12}$$

When $\gamma=0$, we write,

$$\Theta_0:=\Theta(0),\quad \xi_0:=\xi(0). \tag{2.13}$$

It is a consequence of (2.11) that the constant C_1 introduced in (1.7) can be defined by the alternative manner,

$$C_1:=\frac{|\varphi_0(0)|^2}{3}. \tag{2.14}$$

Let us recall an important consequence of standard Sturm-Liouville theory (cf. [5, Lemma 2.1]).

Lemma 2.1. *For all $\xi\in\mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\mu_2(\xi)>\mu_1^D(\xi)>1.$$

Let us also introduce,

$$\Theta_k(\gamma)=\inf_{\xi\in\mathbb{R}}\mu_k(\gamma,\xi)\,,\quad \forall\, k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{2.15}$$

Another consequence of Sturm-Liouville theory that we shall need is the following result on $\Theta_2(\gamma)$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$, we have,*

$$\Theta_2(\gamma)>\Theta(\gamma).$$

Proof. Let us introduce the continuous function $f(\gamma) = \Theta_2(\gamma) - \Theta(\gamma)$. Using the min-max principle, it follows from (2.9) and Lemma 2.1 that $f(0) > 0$. It is then sufficient to prove that the function f never vanish. Suppose by contradiction that there is some $\gamma_0 \neq 0$ such that $\Theta_2(\gamma_0) = \Theta(\gamma_0)$. By the same method used in [10] one is able to prove that there exists $\xi_2(\gamma_0) > 0$ such that

$$\Theta_2(\gamma_0) = \mu_2(\gamma_0, \xi_2(\gamma_0)),$$

and that $\xi_2(\gamma_0)^2 = \Theta_2(\gamma_0) + \gamma_0^2$. Therefore we get $\xi_2(\gamma_0) = \xi(\gamma_0)$.
Now, by Sturm-Liouville theory, the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathcal{L}[\gamma_0, \xi(\gamma_0)]$ are all simple, whereas, by the above, we get a degenerate eigenvalue

$$\mu_1(\gamma_0, \xi(\gamma_0)) = \Theta(\gamma_0) = \mu_2(\gamma_0, \xi(\gamma_0)),$$

which is the desired contradiction. □

One more useful result in Sturm-Liouville theory is the following.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_-$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Theta_k(\gamma) < 2k+1$ and for all $b_0 \in]\Theta_k(\gamma), 2k+1[$, the equation*

$$\mu_k(\gamma, \xi) = b_0$$

has exactly two solutions $\xi_{k,-}(\gamma, b_0)$ and $\xi_{k,+}(\gamma, b_0)$. Moreover,

$$\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} \ : \ \mu_k(\gamma, \xi) < b_0\} =]-\xi_{k,-}(\gamma, b_0), \xi_{k,+}(\gamma, b_0)[\ .$$

Proof. We can study the variations of the function $\xi \mapsto \mu_k(\gamma, \xi)$ using exactly the same method of [10, 14, 3]. We obtain that the function $\xi \mapsto \mu_k(\gamma, \xi)$ attains a unique non-degenerate minimum at the point $\xi_k(\gamma) = \sqrt{\Theta_k(\gamma) + \gamma^2}$, and analogous formulae to (2.6)-(2.7) continue to hold for $(\gamma, \xi) \mapsto \mu_k(\gamma, \xi)$. Moreover, $\lim_{\xi \to -\infty} \mu_k(\gamma, \xi) = \infty$ and $\lim_{\xi \to \infty} \mu_k(\gamma, \xi) = 2k+1$. For instance, the restrictions of the function $\xi \mapsto \mu_k(\gamma, \xi)$ to the intervals $]-\infty, \xi_k(\gamma)[$ and $]\xi_k(\gamma), \infty[$ are invertible. □

It is a result of the variational min-max principle that the function $\gamma \mapsto \Theta_k(\gamma)$ is continuous, see [10, Proposition 2.5] for the case $k = 1$. Thus the set

$$U_k = \{(\gamma, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \ : \ \Theta_k(\gamma) < b < 2k+1\} \quad \text{is open in } \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{2.16}$$

Lemma 2.4. *The functions*

$$U_k \ni (\gamma, b) \mapsto \xi_{k,\pm}(\gamma, b)$$

admit continuous extensions

$$\mathbb{R} \times]-\infty, 2k+1[\mapsto \overline{\xi}_{k,\pm}(\gamma, b)\,.$$

Proof. Using the regularity of $\mu_k(\gamma, \xi)$, the implicit function theorem applied to

$$U_k \times \mathbb{R} \ni (\gamma, b, \xi) \mapsto \mu_k(\gamma, \xi) - b$$

near $(\gamma_0, b_0, \xi_{k,\pm}(\gamma_0, b_0))$ (for an arbitrary point $(\gamma_0, b_0) \in U_k$) permits to deduce that the functions

$$U_k \ni (\gamma, b) \mapsto \xi_{k,\pm}(\gamma, b) \quad \text{are } C^1\,.$$

We then define the following continuous extensions of $\xi_{k,\pm}$,

$$\overline{\xi}_{k,\pm}(\gamma,b)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\xi_{k,\pm}(\gamma,b)\,,\quad\text{if }\Theta_k(\gamma)<b<2k+1\,,\\ \xi_k(\gamma)\,,\quad\text{if }\Theta_k(\gamma)\geq b\,,\end{array}\right.$$

where $\xi_k(\gamma)$ is the unique non-degenerate minimum of $\xi\mapsto\mu_k(\gamma,\xi)$. □

The next lemma justifies that the sum on the right hand side of (1.9) is indeed finite.

Lemma 2.5. *For each $M>0$ and $b_0\in]0,1[$, there exists a constant $C>0$ such that, for all $\gamma\in]-M,M[$ and $b\in]\Theta(\gamma),b_0[$, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\big|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma,\xi)<b\}\big|\leq C.$$

Proof. Let us notice that for all $j\geq 1$,

$$\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma,\xi)<b\}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_1(\gamma,\xi)<b_0\}\,,$$

and for all $\gamma\in]-M,M[$ (using the monotonicity of $\eta\mapsto\mu_1(\eta,\xi)$),

$$\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_1(\gamma,\xi)<b_0\}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_1(-M,\xi)<b_0\}\,.$$

Consequently, there exists a constant $\widetilde{M}>0$ such that

$$\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma,\xi)<b\}\subset[-\widetilde{M},\widetilde{M}],\quad\forall\, b\leq b_0\,,\ \forall\,\gamma\in]-M,M[.$$

Since the functions

$$\xi\mapsto\mu_j(\gamma,\xi)\quad(j\in\mathbb{N})$$

are regular, we introduce constants $(\xi_j(M))_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\subset[-\widetilde{M},\widetilde{M}]$ by

$$\mu_j(-M,\xi_j(M))=\min_{\xi\in[-\widetilde{M},\widetilde{M}]}\mu_j(-M,\xi)\,.$$

We claim that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\mu_j(-M,\xi_j(M))=\infty. \tag{2.17}$$

Once this claim is proved, we get the result of the lemma, since by monotonicity

$$\mu_j(\gamma,\xi)\geq\mu_1(-M,\xi)\quad\forall\,\gamma\geq -M\,,\ \forall\,\xi\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Let us assume by contradiction that the claim (2.17) were false. Then we may find a constant $\mathcal{M}>0$ and a subsequence (j_n) such that

$$\mu_{j_n}(-M,\xi_{j_n}(M))\leq\mathcal{M}\,,\quad\forall\,n\in\mathbb{N}\,. \tag{2.18}$$

Since $-\widetilde{M}\leq\xi_{j_n}(M)\leq\widetilde{M}$ for all n, we get a subsequence, denoted again by $\xi_{j_n}(M)$, such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\xi_{j_n}(M)=\zeta(M)\in[-\widetilde{M},\widetilde{M}].$$

It is quiet easy, by comparing the corresponding quadratic forms, to prove the existence of a constant $C>0$ such that, for all $\varepsilon\in]0,\frac{1}{2}[$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{j_n}(-M,\xi_{j_n}(M))\ &\geq\ (1-\varepsilon)\mu_{j_n}(-2M,\zeta(M))\\ &\quad -C\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon^{-1}|\xi_{j_n}(M)-\zeta(M)|^2\right).\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

We shall provide some details concerning the above estimate, but we would like first to achieve the proof of the lemma. Notice that, since the operator $\mathcal{L}[-M,\zeta(M)]$ has compact resolvent, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_{j_n}(-2M,\zeta(M))=\infty.$$

Upon choosing $\varepsilon=|\xi_{j_n}(M)-\zeta(M)|$, we get from (2.19) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu_{j_n}(-M,\xi_{j_n}(M))=\infty\,,$$

contradicting thus (2.18).

We conclude by some wards concerning the proof of (2.19). Notice that, for a normalized L^2-function u, we have by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}2\left|\int_0^\infty(\zeta-\xi_{j_n}(M))(t-\zeta)|u|^2\,\mathrm{d}t\right| &\leq 2|\zeta-\xi_{j_n}(M)|\times\|(t-\zeta)u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}\\ &\leq \varepsilon\|(t-\zeta)u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}+\varepsilon^{-1}|\zeta-\xi_{j_n}(M)|^2\,,\end{aligned}$$

for any $\varepsilon>0$. On the other hand, writing

$$(t-\xi_{j_n}(M))^2=(t-\zeta)^2+(\xi-\xi_{j_n}(M))^2+2(\zeta-\xi_{j_n}(M))(t-\zeta)\,,$$

we get the following comparison of the quadratic forms

$$q[-M,\xi_{j_n}(M)](u)\geq q[-M,\zeta](u)-\varepsilon\|(t-\zeta)u\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)}-\varepsilon^{-1}|\zeta-\xi_{j_n}(M)|^2\,,$$

where $q[-M,\cdot]$ has been introduced in (2.1). Noticing that for $\varepsilon\in]0,\frac{1}{2}[$, $\frac{-M}{1-\varepsilon}\geq -2M$, the application of the min-max principle permits then to conclude the desired bound (2.19). ☐

Remark 2.6. *Once the asymptotic expansion (1.9) is proved, the formula (1.11) becomes a consequence of Lemma 2.1.*

The next lemma will play a crucial role in establishing the main results of this paper.

Lemma 2.7. *The function*

$$\mathcal{S}:\mathbb{R}\times]-\infty,1[\ni(\gamma,b)\mapsto\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma,\xi)<b\}|$$

is locally uniformly continuous.

Proof. Let $b_0\in]0,1[$ and $m>0$. It is sufficient to establish,

$$\left(\sup_{|\gamma|\leq m,\,b\leq b_0}|\mathcal{S}(\gamma+\tau,b+\delta)-\mathcal{S}(\gamma,b)|\right)\to 0\quad\text{as }(\tau,\delta)\to 0\,.\tag{2.20}$$

Let $\tau_1=1-b_0>0$. By monotonicity, for all $\tau,\delta\in[-\tau_1,\tau_1]$, the following holds

$$\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\,:\,\mu_j(\gamma+\tau,\xi)<b+\delta\}\subset\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\,:\,\mu_1(-m-\tau_1,\xi)<b+\tau_1\}\,,\quad\forall\,j\in\mathbb{N}\,.$$

Therefore, we may find a constant $M>0$ depending only on m and b_0 such that

$$\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma+\tau,\xi)<b+\delta\}\subset[-M,M]\,,\quad\forall\,\tau,\delta\in[-\tau_1,\tau_1],\ \forall\,j\in\mathbb{N}\,.\tag{2.21}$$

So defining $\xi_j(M)$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.5, i.e.

$$\forall\ \xi \in [-M,M],\ \forall\ \tau \in [-\tau_1,\tau_1],\quad \mu_j(\gamma+\tau,\xi) \geq \mu_j(-m-\tau_1,\xi_j(M))\,,$$

we get as in (2.17):

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \mu_j(-m-\tau_1,\xi_j(M)) = \infty\,.$$

Hence, we may find $j_0 \geq 1$ depending only on m and b_0 such that

$$\mu_j(-m-1,\xi_j(M)) \geq b_0 + 2\tau_1 \quad \forall\ j \geq j_0\,,$$

and consequently, for $|\tau| \leq \tau_1$, $|\delta| \leq \tau_1$, we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma+\tau,\xi) < b+\delta\}| = \sum_{j=1}^{j_0} |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\gamma+\tau,\xi) < b+\delta\}|\,.$$

Therefore, we deal only with a finite sum of j_0 terms, j_0 being independent from τ, δ, γ and b. So given $k \in \{1,\cdots,j_0\}$ and setting $\mathcal{S}_k(\gamma,b) = |\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_k(\gamma,\xi) < b\}|$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{\substack{(\tau,\delta)\to 0\\ |\tau|+|\delta|\leq\tau_1}} \left(\sup_{|\gamma|\leq m,\, b\leq b_0} |\mathcal{S}_k(\gamma+\tau,b+\delta) - \mathcal{S}_k(\gamma,b)| \right) = 0\,. \tag{2.22}$$

The above formula is only a direct consequence of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4. □

2.2. **The model operator on a half-cylinder.**

We treat now the operator $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S} = -(h\nabla - iA_0)^2$, where Ω_S is the half-cylinder

$$\Omega_S =]0,S[\times]0,\infty[,$$

$S > 0$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants. The magnetic potential A_0 is taken in the canonical way

$$A_0(s,t) = (-t,0), \quad \forall\ (s,t) \in [0,S]\times[0,\infty[. \tag{2.23}$$

Functions in the domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}$ satisfy the periodic conditions

$$u(0,\cdot) = u(S,\cdot) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}_+,$$

and the de Gennes boundary condition at $t=0$,

$$h\,\partial_t u\,\big|_{t=0} = h^\alpha \gamma u\,\big|_{t=0}.$$

We shall from now on use the following notation. For a self-adjoint operator T and a real number $\lambda < \inf\sigma_{\rm ess}(T)$, we denote by $N(\lambda,T)$ the number of eigenvalues of T (counted with multiplicity) included in $]-\infty,\lambda]$.

Lemma 2.8. *For each $M > 0$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $b_0 \in]-\infty,1[$, $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $S > 0$, $\gamma \in [-M,M]$ and $h \in]0,1[$, we have,*

$$\left| N\left(b_0 h, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}\right) - \frac{S\,h^{-1/2}}{2\pi}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\Big|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma,\xi)\leq b_0\}\Big|\right)\right|$$
$$\leq C.$$

Proof. By separation of variables (cf. [17]) and a scaling we may decompose $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}$ as a direct sum:

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} h\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}\tau^2}+(2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}+\tau)^2\right)\quad\text{in}\ \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} L^2(\mathbb{R}_+),$$

with the boundary condition $u'(0)=h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma\, u(0)$ at $\tau=0$.
Consequently we obtain:

$$\sigma\left(P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}\right)=\bigcup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\left\{h\mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma,2\pi h^{1/2}S^{-1}n)\ :\ n\in\mathbb{N}\right\},\tag{2.24}$$

and each eigenvalue is of multiplicity 1.
Thus, putting

$$f_j(\xi)=\mathbf{1}_{\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma,\xi)<b_0\}}(\xi)\,,\quad \xi_n=2\pi h^{1/2}S^{-1}n\,,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(b_0h,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}\right) &= \mathrm{Card}\left(\sigma\left(P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}\right)\cap]0,b_0h]\right)\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\mathrm{Card}\left\{n\in\mathbb{N}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma,2\pi h^{1/2}S^{-1}n)\le b_0\right\}\\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f_j(\xi_n)=\sum_{j=1}^{j_0}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f_j(\xi_n)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the last step is due to Lemma 2.5 (and its proof) which yields the existence of $j_0\in\mathbb{N}$, depending only on γ, h and b_0, such that $f_j\equiv 0$ for $j\ge j_0$.
Now, by definition of ξ_n,

$$\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}f_j(\xi_n)=\frac{S\,h^{-1/2}}{2\pi}\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_n)\,f_j(\xi_n)\,,$$

and we can verify easily the following estimate (thanks in particular to Lemma 2.3),

$$-2\pi h^{1/2}S^{-1}+\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_j(\xi)\ \mathrm{d}\xi\le\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}(\xi_{n+1}-\xi_n)f_j(\xi_n)\le\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_j(\xi)\ \mathrm{d}\xi+2\pi h^{1/2}S^{-1}\,.$$

Therefore, we conclude upon noticing that, by the definition of the function f_j,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}}f_j(\xi)\ \mathrm{d}\xi=\left|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma,\xi)<b_0\}\right|\,.$$

□

2.3. **The model operator on a Dirichlet strip.**

We consider now the operator $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}=-(h\nabla-iA_0)^2$, where $\Omega_{S,T}$ is the strip

$$\Omega_{S,T}=]0,S[\times]0,T[,$$

$S,T>0$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ are constants. The magnetic potential A_0 was defined in (2.23).
Functions in the domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}$ satisfy the de Gennes condition $h\partial_t u=$

$h^\alpha \gamma\, u$ at $t=0$ and Dirichlet condition on the other sides of the boundary.

The next lemma gives a comparison between the counting function of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}$ and that of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}$.

Lemma 2.9. *There exists a constant* $c>0$ *such that,*

$$\forall\ S>0,\ \forall\ T>0,\quad \forall\ \gamma\in\mathbb{R},\quad \forall\ \delta\in]0,S/2],\quad \forall\ b_0\in]-\infty,1[,$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}N\left(b_0h-c\,h^2(\delta^{-2}+T^{-2}),\,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right) &\leq N\left(b_0h,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}\right)\\ &\leq N\left(b_0h,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the extension by zero of a function in the form domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}$ is included in that of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_S}$, and the values of the quadratic forms coincide for such a function, we get the upper bound of the lemma by a simple application of the variational principle.
We turn now to the lower bound. The argument is like the one used in [2, 5] but we explain it because it illustrates in a simple case the arguments of this paper.
Let us introduce two partitions of unity (φ_i^δ) and (ψ_j^T) such that:

$$\left(\varphi_1^\delta\right)^2+\left(\varphi_2^\delta\right)^2=1\text{ in }[0,2(S-\delta)],\quad \left(\psi_0^T\right)^2+\left(\psi_1^T\right)^2=1\text{ in }\mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\operatorname{supp}\varphi_1^\delta\subset[0,S]\\ \operatorname{supp}\varphi_2^\delta\subset[S-\delta,2(S-\delta)]\\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^2\left|\left(\varphi_i^\delta\right)'\right|^2\leq c\,\delta^{-2}\end{array}\right\}\ \&\ \left\{\begin{array}{c}\operatorname{supp}\psi_0^T\subset[T/2,\infty[\\ \operatorname{supp}\psi_1^T\subset[0,T]\\ \displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^1\left|\left(\psi_i^T\right)'\right|^2\leq c\,T^{-2}\end{array}\right\},$$

where $c>0$ is a constant independent from S,T and δ.
Upon putting

$$\chi_i^{\delta,T}(s,t)=\varphi_i^\delta(s)\,\psi_1^T(t)\quad(i=1,2),\quad \chi_0^{\delta,T}(s,t)=\psi_0^T(t),$$

we get a partition of unity of $\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}=]0,2(S-\delta)[\times\mathbb{R}_+$.
Let us take a function u in the form domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}$. Then, by the IMS decomposition formula:

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}|(h\nabla-iA_0)u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &\quad=\sum_{i=0}^2\int_{\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}|(h\nabla-iA_0)\,\chi_i^{\delta,T}u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x-h^2\sum_{i=0}^2\left\|\,|\nabla\chi_i^{\delta,T}|u\right\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{S,T})}\\ &\quad\geq\sum_{i=0}^2\int_{\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}|(h\nabla-iA_0)\,\chi_i^{\delta,T}u|^2\,\mathrm{d}x-c(\delta^{-2}+T^{-2})h^2\|u\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{S,T})}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\chi_1^{\delta,T}u$ is in the form domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}$ and $\chi_2^{\delta,T}u$ is in that of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,]S-\delta,2(S-\delta)[\times]0,T[}$ (this last operator, thanks to translational invariance with respect to s, is unitary equivalent to $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}$). Also, $\chi_0^{\delta,T}u$ is in the

form domain of the $P^D_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}$, the Dirichlet realization of $-(h\nabla - iA_0)^2$ in $\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}$.
Since the form domain of $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}$ can be viewed in[1]

$$FD\left(P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}\right) \oplus FD\left(P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,]S-\delta,2(S-\delta)[\times]0,T[}\right) \oplus FD\left(P^{D}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right)$$

via the isometry $u \mapsto \left(\chi_1^{\delta,T}u, \chi_2^{\delta,T}u, \chi_0^{\delta,T}u\right)$, we get upon applying the variational principle (see [17, Section XII.15]),

$$\begin{aligned} N\left(b_0h - ch^2(\delta^{-2}+T^{-2}),\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right) \\ \leq 2N\left(b_0h,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right) + N\left(b_0h,\, P^{D}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Notice that, by (1.4), the operator $P^D_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}$ has no spectrum below b_0h when $b_0 < 1$. Hence,

$$N\left(b_0h,\, P^{D}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right) = 0.$$

Coming back to (2.25), we get the lower bound stated in the lemma. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We come back to the case of a general smooth domain Ω whose boundary is compact. We introduce the following quadratic forms:

$$q^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}(u) = \int_\Omega |(h\nabla - iA)u|^2\, \mathrm{d}x + h^{1+\alpha}\int_{\partial\Omega} \gamma(s)\,|u(s)|^2\, \mathrm{d}s, \tag{3.1}$$

$$q_{h,\Omega}(u) = \int_\Omega |(h\nabla - iA)u|^2\, \mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.2}$$

defined for functions in the magnetic Sobolev space:

$$H^1_{h,A}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^2(\Omega)\ :\ (h\nabla - iA)u \in L^2(\Omega)\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Here, we recall that $A \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ is such that

$$\operatorname{curl} A = 1 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}.$$

We shall recall in the appendix a standard coordinate transformation valid in a sufficiently thin neighborhood of the boundary:

$$\Phi_{t_0}\ :\ \overline{\Omega(t_0)} \ni x \mapsto (s(x), t(x)) \in [0, |\partial\Omega|[\times[0, t_0],$$

where for $t_0 > 0$, $\Omega(t_0)$ is the tubular neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$:

$$\Omega(t_0) = \{x \in \Omega\ :\ \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) < t_0\}.$$

Let us mention that $t(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ measures the distance to the boundary and $s(x)$ measures the curvilinear distance in $\partial\Omega$.
Using the coordinate transformation Φ_{t_0}, we associate to any function $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, a function $\widetilde{u}$ defined in $[0, |\partial\Omega|[\times[0, t_0]$ by,

$$\widetilde{u}(s,t) = u(\Phi_{t_0}^{-1}(s,t)). \tag{3.4}$$

---

[1] For an operator A, $FD(A)$ denotes its form domain.

The next lemma states a standard approximation of the quadratic form $q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)$ by the canonical one in the half-plane, provided that the function u is supported near the boundary.

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant* $C>0$, *and for all*

$$S_1\in[0,|\partial\Omega|[,\quad S_2\in]S_1,|\partial\Omega|[,$$

there exists a function $\phi\in C^2([S_1,S_2]\times[0,t_0];\mathbb{R})$ *such that, for all*

$$\widetilde{S}_1\in[S_1,S_2],\quad T\in]0,t_0[,\quad \varepsilon\in[CT,Ct_0],$$

and for all $u\in H^1_{h,A}(\Omega)$ *satisfying*

$$\operatorname{supp}\widetilde{u}\subset[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T],$$

one has the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\varepsilon)q_{h,\Omega_1}^{\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_1}\left(e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}\right)-C\varepsilon^{-1}\left(((S_2-S_1)^2+T^2)^2+h^2\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)}\\&\quad\le q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)\le(1+\varepsilon)q_{h,\Omega_1}^{\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}_1}\left(e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}\right)+C\varepsilon^{-1}\left(((S_2-S_1)^2+T^2)^2+h^2\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{L^2(\Omega_1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\Omega_1=[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T]$, $\widetilde{\gamma}_1=\dfrac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_1)+C(S_2-S_1)}{1+\varepsilon}$, $\widehat{\gamma}_1=\dfrac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_1)-C(S_2-S_1)}{1-\varepsilon}$, *and the function* $\widetilde{u}$ *is associated to* u *by (3.4).*

Proof. Notice that when $\gamma\equiv 0$, the result follows from [5, Lemma 3.5], which reads explicitly in the form:

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,0}(u)-\int_{[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T]}|(h\nabla-iA_0)e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t\right|\\&\quad\le\varepsilon\int_{[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T]}|(h\nabla-iA_0)e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t\\&\qquad+C\varepsilon^{-1}\left((T^2+(S_2-S_1)^2)^2+h^2\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $\widetilde{u}$ restricted to the boundary is supported in $[S_1,S_2]$, we get as an immediate consequence the following two-sided estimate for non-zero γ:

$$\begin{aligned}&(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)\\&\le\int_{[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T]}|(h\nabla-iA_0)e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t+\frac{h^{1+\alpha}}{1+\varepsilon}\int_{[S_1,S_2]}\gamma(s)|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad+C(1+\varepsilon)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\left((T^2+(S_2-S_1)^2)^2+h^2\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{L^2}\,,\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)\\&\ge\int_{[S_1,S_2]\times[0,T]}|(h\nabla-iA_0)e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t+\frac{h^{1+\alpha}}{1-\varepsilon}\int_{[S_1,S_2]}\gamma(s)|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\&\quad-C(1-\varepsilon)^{-1}\varepsilon^{-1}\left((T^2+(S_2-S_1)^2)^2+h^2\right)\|\widetilde{u}\|^2_{L^2}\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

The idea now is to approximate γ by a constant in a simple manner without needing an estimate of the boundary integral. Actually, Taylor's formula applied to the function γ near $\widetilde{S}_1$ leads to the estimate

$$|\gamma(s)-\gamma(\widetilde{S}_1)|\leq C(S_2-S_1)\,,\quad \forall\ s\in[S_1,S_2]\,,$$

where the constant $C>0$ is possibly replaced by a larger one.
Having this estimate in hand, we get:

$$\left|\int_{[S_1,S_2]}\gamma(s)|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s-\gamma(\widetilde{S}_1)\int_{[S_1,S_2]}|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\right|\\ \leq C(S_2-S_1)\int_{[S_1,S_2]}|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,.$$

Recalling the definition of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ and $\widehat{\gamma}$ in Lemma 3.1 (they actually depend on ε, S_1, S_2 and hence account to all possible errors), we infer directly from the previous estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}(1-\varepsilon)\widehat{\gamma}_1\int_{[S_1,S_2]}|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s&\leq\int_{[S_1,S_2]}\gamma(s)|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\\&\leq(1+\varepsilon)\widetilde{\gamma}_1\int_{[S_1,S_2]}|\widetilde{u}(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s\,.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

Substituting the lower and upper bound of (3.7) in (3.5) and (3.6) respectively, and recalling the hypothesis that $\widetilde{u}(s,0)$ is supported in $[S_1,S_2]$, we obtain the desired estimates of the lemma. □

We shall divide a thin neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$ into many small sub-domains, and in each sub-domain, we shall apply Lemma 3.1 to approximate the quadratic form. This will yield a two-sided estimate of $N(\lambda,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega})$ in terms of the spectral counting functions of model operators on half-cylinders.
Let us put

$$N=[h^{-3/8}],$$

the greatest positive integer below $h^{-3/8}$.
Let

$$S=\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{N},\quad s_n=nS,\ n\in\{0,1,\ldots,N\},$$

and we emphasize that these quantities depend on h. We put further

$$\Omega_S=]0,S[\times\mathbb{R}.$$

With these notations, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is given by the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $b_0\in]-\infty,1[$. There exist constants $C,h_0>0$ such that for all*

$$h\in]0,h_0],\quad \delta\in]0,S/2],\quad \widetilde{S}_n\in[s_{n-1},s_n],\quad n\in\{1,2,\ldots,N\},$$

one has the following estimate on the spectral counting function,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} N\left(hb_0 - Ch^2\delta^{-2}, P^{\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}_n}_{h,\Omega_{2(S-\delta)}}\right) &\leq N\left(hb_0, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right)\\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} N\left(hb_0 + Ch^2\delta^{-2}, P^{\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n}_{h,\Omega_{S+2\delta}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\widetilde{\gamma}_n = \dfrac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_n) + CS}{1+h^{1/4}}$ *and* $\widehat{\gamma}_n = \dfrac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_n) - CS}{1-h^{1/4}}$.

Before proving Proposition 3.2, let us see how it serves for obtaining the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We keep the notation introduced for the statement of Proposition 3.2. The proof is in two steps.

Step 1.

Let us establish the asymptotic formula (as $h \to 0$):

$$h^{1/2} N\left(b_0 h, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s),\xi) < b_0\}\right| \mathrm{d}s + o(1), \tag{3.8}$$

where $b_0 \in]-\infty, 1[$.

From the lower bound in Proposition 3.2, we get upon applying Lemma 2.8, a constant $\widetilde{C} > 0$ such that

$$h^{1/2} N\left(b_0 h, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\sum_{n=1}^{N}(S-\delta)\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widetilde{\gamma}_n,\xi) < b_0 - Ch\delta^{-2}\}\right| - \widetilde{C}h^{1/2}.$$

Since $\alpha \geq 1/2$ and $\partial\Omega$ is bounded, it is a result of Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 that there exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ together with a function $]0, h_0] \ni h \mapsto \epsilon(h)$ tending to 0 as $h \to 0$ such that, for all $h \in]0, h_0]$ and $n \in \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, we have (provided that $h\delta^{-2}$ is sufficiently small),

$$\mathcal{S}\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widetilde{\gamma}_n, b_0\right) \leq C\,,$$
$$\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widetilde{\gamma}_n, b_0 - Ch\delta^{-2}\right) - \mathcal{S}\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma_n, b_0\right)\right| \leq \epsilon(h)\,,$$

where the function $\mathcal{S}$ is introduced in 2.7, and $\gamma_n = (1+h^{1/4})\widetilde{\gamma}_n - CS = \gamma(\widetilde{S}_n)$.

Recalling that $S = |\partial\Omega|/N$ and $N = [h^{-3/8}]$, we get upon choosing $\delta = 1/N^2$,

$$h^{1/2} N\left(b_0 h, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} S\left|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma_n,\xi) < b_0\}\right|\right) - \epsilon(h) - Ch^{3/8},$$

where the leading order term on the right hand side is a Riemann sum. We get then the following lower bound,

$$h^{1/2}N\left(b_0h, P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}\right) \geq \\ \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s),\xi)<b_0\}\right|\,\mathrm{d}s+o(1).$$

This is the lower bound in (3.8). In a similar manner we obtain an upper bound.

Step 2.

If $\alpha=1/2$, the asymptotic formula (3.8) is just the conclusion of Theorem 1.1.

We turn to the case when $\alpha>1/2$. Again, it results from Lemma 2.7 the existence of a constant h_0 and a function $]0,h_0]\ni h\mapsto\epsilon_1(h)$ tending to 0 as $h\to 0$ such that for all $h\in]0,h_0]$ and $s\in\partial\Omega$,

$$\left|\mathcal{S}\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s),b_0\right)-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\xi)<b_0|\right)\right|\leq\epsilon_1(h),$$

where $\mu_j(\xi)=\mu_j(0,\xi)$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, it holds that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty}|\{\xi\in\mathbb{R}\ :\ \mu_j(\xi)<b_0\}|=0\,.$$

Now we can infer from (3.8) the asymptotic formula announced in (1.8). □

Proof of Proposition 3.2. Let us establish the lower bound. Let $P_{N,h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ be the restriction of the operator $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ for functions u in $D(P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma})$ that vanishes on the set

$$\{x\in\Omega\ :\ t(x)\geq T\}\cup\bigcup_{n=1}^{N}\{x\in\Omega\ :\ 0\leq t(x)\leq T, s(x)=s_n\},$$

where $T>$ is to be specified later. The important remark is that the spectrum of $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ is below that of $P_{N,h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$.

Let us take a function u in the form of domain of $P_{N,h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$. Applying Lemma 3.1 with $T=h^{3/8}$ and $\varepsilon=h^{1/4}$, we get the estimate,

$$q_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}(u)\leq(1+h^{1/4})\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(q_{h,\Omega_n}^{\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}_n}\left(e^{-i\phi_n/h}\,\widetilde{u}\right)+Ch^{5/4}\left\|e^{-i\phi_n/h}\,\widetilde{u}\right\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)}^2\right),$$

where $\Omega_n=]s_{n-1},s_n[\times]0,T[$ and $\widetilde{\gamma}_n=\frac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_n)+CS}{1+h^{1/4}}$. Then, by the variational principle, we obtain (recall that the spectrum of $P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$ is below that of $P_{N,h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}$),

$$N\left(\lambda,\,P_{h,\Omega}^{\alpha,\gamma}\right)\geq\sum_{n=1}^{N}N\left(\frac{\lambda-Ch^{5/4}}{1+h^{1/4}},P_{h,\Omega_{S,T}}^{\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}_n}\right),$$

where $\Omega_{S,T}=]0,S[\times]0,T[$. Applying Lemma 2.9, this is sufficient to conclude the lower bound announced in Proposition 3.2.

The upper bound is derived by introducing a partition of unity attached to the sub-domains Ω_n and by using the IMS decomposition formula. The analysis is similar to that presented for the lower bound above and also to that in the proof of Lemma 2.9, so we omit the proof. For the details, we refer to [5, Proposition 3.6]. $\square$

4. Curvature effects

4.1. **A family of ordinary differential operators on a weighted L^2 space.**

A finer approximation of the quadratic form (3.1) leads to the analysis of a family of ordinary differential operators on a weighted L^2 space that takes into account the curvature effects of the boundary. We shall recall in this section the main results for the lowest eigenvalue problem concerning this family of operators. These results were obtained in [7] for the Neumann problem and then generalized in [10] for situations involving de Gennes' boundary condition.

Let us introduce, for technical reasons that will be clarified later, a positive parameter $\delta \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}[$. Let us also consider parameters $h > 0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|\beta| h^{\delta} < \frac{1}{3}.$$

We define the family of quadratic forms (indexed by $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$)

$$\begin{aligned} q^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi}(u) \;&=\; \int_0^{h^{\delta-1/2}} \left[|u'(t)|^2 + (1+2\beta h^{1/2} t) \left| \left(t - \xi - \beta h^{1/2} \frac{t^2}{2} \right) u(t) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \times (1-\beta h^{1/2} t)\, dt + h^{\alpha-1/2} \eta |u(0)|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

defined for functions u in the space :

$$D\left(q^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi} \right) = \left\{ u \in H^1 \left( ]0, h^{\delta-1/2}[\right) \;:\; u\left(h^{\delta-1/2} \right) = 0 \right\}. \tag{4.2}$$

Let us denote by $H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi}$ the self-adjoint realization associated to the quadratic form (4.1) by Friedrich's' theorem. Let us denote also by $\left(\mu_j \left(H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi} \right) \right)_j$ the increasing sequence of eigenvalues of $H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi}$.

For each $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ we introduce the positive numbers :

$$\begin{aligned} & d_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta \right) = \xi(\eta) \Theta'(\eta), \quad d_2(\alpha, \eta) = \xi_0 \Theta'(0) \quad \left(\alpha > \frac{1}{2} \right), \\ & d_3\left(\frac{1}{2}, \eta \right) = \frac{1}{3} (\eta \xi(\eta) + 1)^2 \Theta'(\eta), \quad d_3(\alpha, \eta) = \frac{1}{3} \Theta'(0) \quad \left(\alpha > \frac{1}{2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

The result concerning the lowest eigenvalue of $H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\xi}$ in the next theorem has been proved in [10].

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that $\delta \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}[$ and $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Let*

$$\widetilde{\eta} = h^{\alpha-1/2} \eta\,, \quad \rho_0 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \delta - \frac{1}{4}, \text{ if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \\ \min(\delta - \frac{1}{4}, \alpha - \frac{1}{2}), \text{ if } \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right. , \quad \text{and } 0 < \rho < \rho_0\,.$$

For each $M>0$ *and* $\zeta_0>0$*, there exists a constant* $\zeta_1>0$*, and for each* $\zeta\geq\zeta_1$*, there exist positive constants* C, h_0 *and a function* $]0,h_0]\ni h\mapsto\epsilon(h)\in\mathbb{R}_+$ *with* $\lim_{h\to0}\epsilon(h)=0$ *such that,*

$$\forall\ \eta,\beta\in]-M,M[,\quad \forall\ h\in]0,h_0],$$

the following assertions hold :

- *If* $|\xi-\xi\left(\widetilde{\eta}\right)|\leq\zeta h^\rho$*, then*

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\mu_1\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,\beta,\xi}\right)-\left\{\Theta\left(\widetilde{\eta}\right)+d_2(\alpha,\eta)(\xi-\xi(\widetilde{\eta}))^2-d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta h^{1/2}\right\}\right|\\ &\quad\leq C\left[h^{1/2}|\xi-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})|+h^{\delta+1/2}+h^{1/2}\epsilon(h)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

  and

$$\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,\beta,\xi}\right)\geq\Theta\left(\widetilde{\eta}\right)+\zeta_0h^{2\rho}.\tag{4.5}$$

- *If* $|\xi-\xi\left(\widetilde{\eta}\right)|\geq\zeta h^\rho$*, then*

$$\mu_1\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,\beta,\xi}\right)\geq\Theta\left(\widetilde{\eta}\right)+\zeta_0h^{2\rho}.\tag{4.6}$$

Here, the parameters $d_2(\alpha,\eta)$ *and* $d_3(\alpha,\eta)$ *has been introduced in (4.3).*

Proof. The existence of ζ_1 so that the lower bound (4.6) holds for $|\xi-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})|\geq\zeta_1h^\rho$ has been established in [10, Lemma V.8]. Now, for $\zeta\geq\zeta_1$, (4.6) obviously holds. Under the hypothesis $|\xi-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})|\leq\zeta h^\rho$, the existence of the constants C, h_0 and the estimate (4.4) have been established in [10, Lemma V.8 & V.9]. So we only need to establish (4.5).
We start with the case $\alpha=\frac12$. It results from the min-max principle (see [10] or [14, Lemma 4.2.1] for details),

$$\left|\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,\beta,\xi}\right)-\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,0,\xi}\right)\right|\leq\widetilde{C}h^{2\delta-\frac12}\left(1+\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,0,\xi}\right)\right),$$

where the constant $\widetilde{C}$ depends only on M.
It results again from the min-max principle,

$$\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,0,\xi}\right)\geq\mu_2\left(\mathcal{L}[\eta,\xi]\right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}[\eta,\xi]$ is the operator introduced in (2.3).
We get then the following lower bound,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_2\left(H^{\alpha,\eta,D}_{h,\beta,\xi}\right)&\geq\left(1-2\widetilde{C}h^{2\delta-\frac12}\right)\mu_2\left(\mathcal{L}[\eta,\xi]\right)-\widetilde{C}h^{2\delta-\frac12}\\ &\geq\left(1-2\widetilde{C}h^{2\delta-\frac12}\right)\Theta_2(\eta)-\widetilde{C}h^{2\delta-\frac12}\\ &\geq\Theta(\eta)+\zeta_0h^{2\rho}.\end{aligned}$$

Here, we recall the definition of $\Theta_2(\eta)$ in (2.15). Let us also point out that the final conclusion above follows by Lemma 2.2 upon taking $h\in]0,h_0]$ with h_0 chosen so small that $\zeta_0h_0^{2\rho}+2\widetilde{C}h_0^{2\delta-\frac12}(\Theta_2(\eta)+1)<\frac12\left(\Theta_2(\eta)-\Theta(\eta)\right)$.
When $\alpha>\frac12$, the result follows from the above argument upon using the continuity of our spectral functions with respect to small perturbations. □

4.2. **Spectral function for the model operator on a half-cylinder.** Let us consider parameters

$$h>0,\quad \delta>0,\quad S>0 \text{ and } \beta\in\mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } |\beta|h^\delta<\frac{1}{2}.$$

We denote by $\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$ the self adjoint operator in

$$L^2\left(]0,S[\times]0,h^\delta[\,;(1-\beta t)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t\right)$$

associated with the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{Q}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}(u) &= \int_0^S\int_0^{h^\delta}\left(|h\partial_t u|^2+(1+2\beta t)\left|\left(h\partial_s+t-\beta\frac{t^2}{2}\right)u\right|^2\right)\\ &\qquad\qquad \times(1-\beta t)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t+h^{1+\alpha}\eta\int_0^S|u(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s,\end{aligned}$$

defined for functions u in the form domain

$$D\left(\widetilde{Q}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right)=\{u\in H^1\left(]0,S[\times]0,h^\delta[\right)\ :\ u(\cdot,h^\delta)=0,\ u(0,\cdot)=u(S,\cdot)\}.$$

We recall again the notation that for a self adjoint operator A and a number $\lambda<\inf\sigma_{\mathrm{ess}}(A)$, we denote by $N(\lambda,A)$ the number of eigenvalues of A (counted with multiplicity) below λ.

Proposition 4.2. *With the notation and hypotheses of Theorem 4.1, let* $\zeta_0>0$, $\widetilde{h}_0>0$ *and* $\lambda=\lambda(h)$ *such that*

$$|\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})|<\zeta_0h^{2\rho},\quad\forall\ h\in]0,\widetilde{h}_0]. \tag{4.7}$$

Then, for each $M>0$*, there exist constants* $C>0$ *and* $h_0>0$ *and a function* $]0,h_0]\ni h\mapsto\epsilon_0(h)\in\mathbb{R}_+$ *with* $\lim_{h\to0}\epsilon_0(h)=0$ *such that, for all* $h\in]0,h_0]$ *and* $S,\eta,\beta\in]-M,M[$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|N\left(h\lambda,\,\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right)-\frac{h^{-1/4}S}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\eta)}}\sqrt{\left(d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta+h^{-1/2}[\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})]\right)_+}\right|\\ &\qquad\leq\frac{h^{-1/4}S}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\eta)}}\sqrt{\left(d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta+h^{-1/2}[\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})]\right)_+}\,\epsilon_0(h).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. By separation of variables and by performing the scaling $\tau=h^{-1/2}t$, we decompose $\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$ as a direct sum,

$$\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}h\,H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,2\pi nh^{1/2}S^{-1}}\quad\text{in}\quad\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}L^2\left(]0,h^{\delta-1/2}[;(1-\beta h^{1/2}t)dt\right).$$

Consequently, by Theorem 4.1 and the hypothesis $\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})<\zeta_0h^{2\rho}$, we obtain,

$$N\left(h\lambda,\,\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right)=\mathrm{Card}\left(\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\ ;\ \mu_1\left(H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,2\pi nh^{1/2}S^{-1}}\right)\leq\lambda\}\right).$$

Again, Theorem 4.1 yields the existence of a positive constant ζ (that we may choose sufficiently large as we wish) and a function $h\mapsto\widetilde{\epsilon}(h)$ such that, upon defining the subsets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\pm = \Big\{ & n\in\mathbb{Z}\ :\ \Big|2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})\Big|\leq \zeta h^{\rho},\\ & \Theta(\widetilde{\eta})+d_2(\alpha,\eta)\Big(2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})\Big)^2-d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta h^{1/2}\pm h^{1/2}\widetilde{\epsilon}(h)<\lambda\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

one gets the inclusion,

$$\mathcal{S}_+\subset\Big\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\ ;\ \mu_1\Big(H^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}}\Big)\leq\lambda\Big\}\subset\mathcal{S}_-. \tag{4.9}$$

Therefore, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Card}\mathcal{S}_+\leq N\Big(h\lambda,\,\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\Big)\leq\operatorname{Card}S_-.$$

On the other hand, thanks to (4.7), we may choose $\zeta>0$ sufficiently large so that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})+d_2(\alpha,\eta)\Big(2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})\Big)^2-d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta h^{1/2}\pm h^{1/2}\widetilde{\epsilon}(h)<\lambda\\ &\Longrightarrow\ \Big|2\pi n h^{1/2}S^{-1}-\xi(\widetilde{\eta})\Big|\leq\zeta h^{\rho}\,.\end{aligned}$$

With this choice of ζ, one can rewrite $\mathcal{S}_\pm$ in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_\pm=\Big\{n\in\mathbb{Z}\ :\ & d_2(\alpha,\eta)\Big(2\pi n S^{-1}-h^{-1/2}\xi(\widetilde{\eta})\Big)^2\\ &\leq h^{-1/2}\Big(d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta+h^{-1/2}[\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})]\pm\widetilde{\epsilon}(h)\Big)_+\Big\},\end{aligned}$$

from which one obtains a positive function $\epsilon_0(h)\ll 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\operatorname{Card}\mathcal{S}_\pm-\frac{h^{-1/4}S}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\eta)}}\sqrt{\big(d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta+h^{-1/2}[\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})]\big)_+}\right|\\ &\leq\frac{h^{-1/4}S}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\eta)}}\sqrt{\big(d_3(\alpha,\eta)\beta+h^{-1/2}[\lambda-\Theta(\widetilde{\eta})]\big)_+}\,\epsilon_0(h),\end{aligned}$$

when S varies in a bounded interval $]-M,M[$. This finishes the proof of the proposition. □

4.3. **The model operator on a Dirichlet strip.** We continue to work in the framework of the previous subsection by keeping our choice of parameters β, η, $\alpha\geq\frac{1}{2}$, $h>0$, $\delta\in]\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}[$ and S. Let us consider the operator $L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$ obtained from $\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$ by imposing additional Dirichlet boundary conditions at $s\in\{0,S\}$, i.e.

$$L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\eta}\ :\ D(L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S})\ni u\mapsto\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}u$$

with

$$D(L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S})=\{u\in D(\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,\eta})\ :\ u(0,\cdot)=u(S,\cdot)=0\}.$$

Actually, $L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$ is the self adjoint operator in $L^2\left(]0,S[\times]0,h^\delta[;\,(1-\beta h^\delta)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t\right)$ associated with the quadratic form,

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}(u) &= \int_0^S\int_0^{h^\delta}\left(|h\partial_t u|^2+(1+2\beta t)\left|\left(h\partial_s+t-\beta\frac{t^2}{2}\right)u\right|^2\right)\\ &\qquad\qquad\times(1-\beta t)\,\mathrm{d}s\,\mathrm{d}t+h^{1+\alpha}\eta\int_0^S|u(s,0)|^2\,\mathrm{d}s, \end{aligned}$$

defined for functions u in the form domain

$$D\left(Q^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right)=\{u\in H^1\left(]0,S[\times]0,h^\delta[\right)\ :\ u(\cdot,h^\delta)=u(0,\cdot)=u(S,\cdot)=0\}.$$

Using the same reasoning as that for the proof of Lemma 2.9, we get in the next lemma an estimate of the spectral counting function of the operator $L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}$.

Lemma 4.3. *For each $M>0$, there exist constants $C>0$ and $h_0>0$ such that, for all*

$$\beta,\eta,S\in]-M,M[,\quad \varepsilon_0\in]0,S/2[,\quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R},\quad h\in]0,h_0[,$$

one has the estimate :

$$\frac{1}{2}N\left(\lambda-C\varepsilon_0^{-2}h^2,\,\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,2(S-\varepsilon_0)}\right)\leq N\left(\lambda,\,L^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right)\leq N\left(\lambda,\widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\eta}_{h,\beta,S}\right).$$

4.4. **Spectral counting function in general domains.** We return in this subsection to the case of a general smooth domain Ω whose boundary is compact.

An energy estimate.
Let us recall the notation that κ_{r} denotes the scalar curvature of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. As was first noticed in [7], since the magnetic field is constant, the quadratic form (3.2) can be estimated with a high precision by showing the influence of the scalar curvature. This is actually the content of the next lemma, which we quote from [5, Lemma 4.7]. Before stating the estimate, let us recall that to a given function $u\in H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega)$, we associate by means of boundary coordinates a function $\widetilde{u}$, see (3.4).

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\delta\in]\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{2}[$. There exists a constant $C>0$, and for all*

$$S\in[0,|\partial\Omega|[,\quad \widetilde{S}\in]0,S[,$$

there exists a function $\phi\in C^2([0,S]\times[0,Ch^\delta];\mathbb{R})$ such that, for all $\varepsilon\in[Ch^\delta,1]$, and for all $u\in H^1_{h,A}(\Omega)$ satisfying

$$\operatorname{supp}\widetilde{u}\subset[0,S]\times[0,Ch^\delta],$$

one has the following estimate,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|q_{h,\Omega}(u)-Q_{h,\widetilde{\kappa},S}\left(e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}\right)\right|\\ &\qquad\leq C\left(h^\delta S\,Q_{h,\widetilde{\kappa},S}\left(e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}\right)+(h^{2+\delta}+Sh^{3\delta})\left\|e^{i\phi/h}\widetilde{u}\right\|^2_{L^2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here $\widetilde{\kappa}=\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(\widetilde{S})$, and the function $\widetilde{u}$ is associated to u by (3.4).

Let us mention that we omit α and η from the notation in Subsection 4.3 when $\eta \equiv 0$.

Estimates of the counting function.
As in Section 3, we introduce a partition of a thin neighborhood of $\partial\Omega$: Given $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (that will be chosen later as a function of h) such that

$$N = N(h) \gg 1 \quad (h \to 0),$$

we put

$$S = \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{N}, \quad s_n = nS, \quad \kappa_n = \kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s_n), \quad n \in \{0, 1, \cdots, N\}.$$

By this way, we are able to estimate the spectral counting function of the operator $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}$ by those of the operators $L^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\kappa_n,S}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\delta \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}[$, $\gamma_0 = \min\limits_{x\in\partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$ and $\lambda = \lambda(h)$ such that*

$$\left|\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma_0\right)\right| \ll 1 \quad (h \to 0). \tag{4.10}$$

There exist constants $C > 0$ and $h_0 > 0$ such that, for all

$$h \in]0, h_0[, \quad \varepsilon_0 \in]0, S/2[, \quad \widetilde{S}_n \in [s_{n-1}, s_n], \quad n \in \{1, \cdots, N\},$$

one has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^{N} & N\left(h\lambda - C(Sh^{3\delta} + \varepsilon_0^{-2}h^2),\, \widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\widetilde{\gamma}_n}_{h,\widetilde{\kappa}_n,2(S+\varepsilon_0)}\right)\\ &\le N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right)\\ &\le \sum_{n=1}^{N} N\left(h\lambda + C(Sh^{3\delta} + \varepsilon_0^{-2}h^2),\, \widetilde{L}^{\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n}_{h,\widetilde{\kappa}_n,S+2\varepsilon_0}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\widetilde{\kappa}_n = \kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(\widetilde{S}_n), \quad \widetilde{\gamma}_n = \frac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_n) + CS}{1 + Ch^{\delta}S}, \quad \widehat{\gamma}_n = \frac{\gamma(\widetilde{S}_n) - CS}{1 - Ch^{\delta}S}.$$

The proof is exactly as that of Proposition 3.2 and we omit it. Let us only mention the main points. Thanks to a partition of unity associated with the intervals $[s_{n-1}, s_n]$ and the variational principle, the result follows from local estimates of the quadratic form. For this sake, the procedure consists of the implementation of Lemma 4.4, bounding $\gamma(s)$ from above and below respectively by $(1 + Ch^{\delta}S)\widetilde{\gamma}_n$ and $(1 - Ch^{\delta}S)\widehat{\gamma}_n$ in each $[s_{n-1}, s_n]$ (thus getting errors of order S) and finally of the application of Lemma 4.3.

An asymptotic formula of the counting function.
Let us take constants $\zeta_0 > 0$, $c_0 > 0$, $\delta \in]\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}[$, and let us recall that we introduce a parameter ρ such that :

$$0 < \rho < \delta - \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{if } \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \quad 0 < \rho < \min\left(\delta - \frac{1}{4}, \alpha - \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad \text{if } \alpha > \frac{1}{2}.$$

We take $\widetilde{h}_0 > 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda(h)$ such that,

$$c_0 h^{1/2} \le \left|\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma_0\right)\right| < \zeta_0 h^{2\rho}, \quad \forall\ h \in]0, \widetilde{h}_0]. \tag{4.11}$$

Here

$$\gamma_0 = \min_{x\in\partial\Omega} \gamma(x)$$

and $\gamma \in C^\infty(\partial\Omega;\mathbb{R})$ is the function in de Gennes' boundary condition that we impose on functions in the domain of the operator $P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}$, see (1.2).

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\delta \in]\frac{5}{12},\frac{1}{2}[$. With the above notations, we have the following asymptotic formula as $h\to 0$,*

$$N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h^{-1/4}}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\gamma(s))}}\times \sqrt{\left(d_3(\alpha,\gamma(s))\kappa_{\rm r}(s) + h^{-1/2}\left[\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s)\right)\right]\right)_+}\,{\rm d}s\right)\left(1+o(1)\right).$$

Here, for a given $(\alpha,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}$, the parameters $d_2(\alpha,\eta)$ and $d_3(\alpha,\eta)$ have been introduced in (4.3).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of the asymptotic formula (3.8). There we have shown how to establish a lower bound, so we show here how to establish an upper bound.
For each $n\in\{1,\cdots,N\}$, let us introduce the function (see Lemma 4.3) :

$$f_n(\delta,S,\varepsilon_0) = d_3(\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n)\widetilde{\kappa}_n + h^{-1/2}\left[\lambda + C(Sh^{3\delta-1}+\varepsilon_0^{-2}h) - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widehat{\gamma}_n\right)\right].$$

Here $\widehat{\gamma}_n$ and $\widetilde{\kappa}_n$ are given by Proposition 4.5. Then, combining Propositions 4.2 and 4.5, we get,

$$N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) \leq \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{h^{-1/4}(S+2\varepsilon_0)}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n)}}\sqrt{[f_n(\delta,S,\varepsilon_0)]_+}\right)\left(1+\epsilon_0(h)\right), \tag{4.12}$$

where the function ϵ_0 is independent of N and satisfies

$$\lim_{h\to 0}\epsilon_0(h) = 0.$$

We recall also that $S=\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{N}$. We make the following choice of $\varepsilon_0\in]0,S/2[$:

$$\varepsilon_0 = S^{1+\varsigma}\quad \text{with}\quad \varsigma>0.$$

Then we pose the following condition on S as $h\to 0$,

$$Sh^{3\delta-1} + S^{-2-2\varsigma}h \ll \left|\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widehat{\gamma}_n\right)\right|, \quad \forall\, n\in\{1,\cdots,N\}.$$

By the hypothesis in (4.11), it suffices to choose S in the following way :

$$Sh^{3\delta-1} + S^{-2-2\varsigma}h \ll h^{1/2}\quad (h\to 0).$$

This yields,

$$h^{\frac{1}{4(1+\varsigma)}} \ll S \ll h^{3(\frac{1}{2}-\delta)}$$

and we notice that a choice of $\varsigma>0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{4(1+\varsigma)} > 3\left(\frac{1}{2}-\delta\right)$$

is possible only if $\delta \in]\frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}[$ (this will yield when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ that $\rho_0 \in]\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}[$, ρ_0 being introduced in Theorem 4.1). With this choice, the upper bound (4.12) becomes (for a possibly different $\widetilde{\epsilon}_0(h) \ll 1$),

$$N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) \leq \big(1+\widetilde{\epsilon}_0(h)\big) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{h^{-1/4} S}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n)}} \sqrt{[g_n(\lambda,\alpha)]_+}, \tag{4.13}$$

with

$$g_n(\lambda,\alpha) = d_3(\alpha,\widehat{\gamma}_n)\widetilde{\kappa}_n + h^{-1/2}\left[\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\widehat{\gamma}_n\right)\right].$$

Replacing $\widehat{\gamma}_n$ by $\gamma_n = \gamma(\widetilde{S}_n)$ in (4.13) will yield an error of the order $\mathcal{O}(S)$, and the sum on the right hand side of (4.13) becomes a Riemann sum. We therefore conclude the following upper bound

$$N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) \leq \big(1+\widetilde{\epsilon}_0(h)\big) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h^{-1/4}}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\gamma(s))}} \sqrt{[g(\lambda,\alpha;s)]_+}\,\mathrm{d}s,$$

with

$$g(\lambda,\alpha;s) = d_3(\alpha,\gamma(s))\widetilde{\kappa}(s) + h^{-1/2}\left[\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s)\right)\right].$$

By a similar argument, we get a lower bound. □

Remark 4.7. When relaxing the hypotheses of Theorem 4.6 by allowing λ to satisfy (compare with (4.11)):

$$\left|\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma_0\right)\right| = o(h^{1/2}) \quad \text{as } h \to 0\,,$$

the result for the counting function becomes (as can be checked by adjusting the proof of Theorem 4.6)

$$N\left(h\lambda,\, P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right) = \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h^{-1/4}}{\pi\sqrt{d_2(\alpha,\gamma(s))}} \sqrt{\Big(d_3(\alpha,\gamma(s))\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s)\Big)_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)\big(1+o(1)\big).$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.
We recall in this case that $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ and that

$$\lambda(h) = \Theta_0 + 3aC_1 h^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{with} \quad a \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{\gamma_0\}.$$

Here $C_1 > 0$ is the universal constant introduced in (1.7).
In this specific regime, (4.11) is verified when making a choice of $\rho \in]0, \frac{1}{2}\min(\delta - \frac{1}{4}, \alpha - \frac{1}{2})[$.
The leading order term of the integrand in the asymptotic formula of Theorem 4.6 is, up to a multiplication by a positive constant,

$$\sqrt{h^{-1/2}\left[\lambda - \Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s)\right)\right]_+}\,.$$

We write by using the asymptotic expansion of $\Theta(\cdot)$ given by Taylor's formula (see (2.11)-(2.14)) :

$$\Theta\left(h^{\alpha-1/2}\gamma(s)\right) = \Theta_0 + 3C_1\gamma(s)h^{\alpha-1/2} + \mathcal{O}(h^{2\alpha-1}), \quad (h \to 0).$$

Therefore, it results from Theorem 4.6,

$$N\left(h\lambda,\,P^{\alpha,\gamma}_{h,\Omega}\right)=\left(\frac{h^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\frac{3}{2})}}{\pi\sqrt{\xi_0}}\int_{\partial\Omega}\sqrt{\big(a-\gamma(s)\big)_+}\,\mathrm{d}s\right)(1+o(1)).$$

When $a=\gamma_0$, we may encounter the regime of Remark 4.7, hence by using the result of that remark and noticing that when $\frac{1}{2}<\alpha<1$

$$h^{-1/4}\ll h^{\frac{1}{2}(\alpha-\frac{3}{2})}\ll h^{-1/2}\quad\text{as } h\to 0\,,$$

we recover the asymptotic expansion announced in Theorem 1.3 in the present case. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.3.
In this case $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ and

$$h^{1/2}\ll|\lambda-\Theta(\gamma_0)|\leq\zeta_0 h^{\varrho}\quad\text{with}\quad 0<\varrho<\frac{1}{2}.$$

Taking $\rho=\varrho/2$, then we may choose $\delta\in]\frac{5}{12},\frac{1}{2}[$ such that (4.11) is satisfied. Thus, the asymptotic formula of Theorem 4.6 is still valid in this regime, and the leading order term of the integrand is, up to a multiplicative constant,

$$\frac{h^{-1/2}}{\pi}\sqrt{\frac{[\lambda-\Theta\left(\gamma(s)\right)]_+}{d_2\left(\frac{1}{2},\gamma(s)\right)}}\,.$$

This proves the theorem. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.5.
Again, the proof follows from Theorem 4.6 and the properties of the function $\Theta(\cdot)$. $\square$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his thanks to R. Frank for the fruitful discussions around the subject, and also to B. Helffer for his helpful remarks. He wishes also to thank the anonymous referees for their careful reading of the paper, for pointing out many corrections and for their many helpful suggestions.

APPENDIX A. BOUNDARY COORDINATES

We recall now the definition of the standard coordinates that straightens a portion of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. Given $t_0>0$, let us introduce the following neighborhood of the boundary,

$$\mathcal{N}_{t_0}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^2;\quad \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)<t_0\}.\tag{A.1}$$

As the boundary is smooth, let $s\in]-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2},\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}]\mapsto M(s)\in\partial\Omega$ be a regular parametrization of $\partial\Omega$ that satisfies :

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}s\text{ is the oriented ‘arc length’ between } M(0)\text{ and } M(s).\\ T(s):=M'(s)\text{ is a unit tangent vector to }\partial\Omega\text{ at the point } M(s).\\ \text{The orientation is positive, i.e. } \det(T(s),\nu(s))=1.\end{array}\right.$$

We recall that $\nu(s)$ is the unit outward normal of $\partial\Omega$ at the point $M(s)$. The scalar curvature $\kappa_{\rm r}$ is now defined by :

$$T'(s) = \kappa_{\rm r}(s)\nu(s). \tag{A.2}$$

When t_0 is sufficiently small, the map :

$$\Phi :]-|\partial\Omega|/2, |\partial\Omega|/2]\times]-t_0,t_0[\ni (s,t)\mapsto M(s)-t\nu(s)\in\mathcal{N}_{t_0}, \tag{A.3}$$

is a diffeomorphism. For $x\in\mathcal{N}_{t_0}$, we write,

$$\Phi^{-1}(x) := (s(x),t(x)), \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$t(x)=\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\text{ if }x\in\Omega\quad\text{and }t(x)=-\operatorname{dist}(x,\partial\Omega)\text{ if }x\notin\Omega.$$

The Jacobin of the transformation Φ^{-1} is equal to,

$$a(s,t)=\det\left(D\Phi^{-1}\right)=1-t\kappa_{\rm r}(s). \tag{A.5}$$

To a vector field $A=(A_1,A_2)\in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)$, we associate the vector field

$$\tilde{A}=(\tilde{A}_1,\tilde{A}_2)\in H^1(]-|\partial\Omega|/2,|\partial\Omega|/2]\times]-t_0,t_0[;\mathbb{R}^2)$$

by the following relations :

$$\tilde{A}_1(s,t)=(1-t\kappa_{\rm r}(s))\vec{A}(\Phi(s,t))\cdot M'(s),\quad \tilde{A}_2(s,t)=\vec{A}(\Phi(s,t))\cdot\nu(s). \tag{A.6}$$

We get then the following change of variable formulae.

Proposition A.1. *Let $u\in H^1_A(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be supported in $\mathcal{N}_{t_0}$. Writing $\widetilde{u}(s,t)=u(\Phi(s,t))$, then we have :*

(A.7)

$$\int_\Omega |(\nabla-iA)u|^2\,dx=\int_{-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}^{\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}\int_0^{t_0}\left[|(\partial_s-i\tilde{A}_1)\widetilde{u}|^2+a^{-2}|(\partial_t-i\tilde{A}_2)\widetilde{u}|^2\right]a\,dsdt,$$

(A.8)

$$\int_{\Omega^c} |(\nabla-iA)u|^2\,dx=\int_{-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}^{\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}\int_{-t_0}^{0}\left[|(\partial_s-i\tilde{A}_1)\widetilde{u}|^2+a^{-2}|(\partial_t-i\tilde{A}_2)\widetilde{u}|^2\right]a\,dsdt,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}|u(x)|^2\,dx=\int_{-\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}^{\frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2}}\int_{-t_0}^{t_0}|\widetilde{u}(s,t)|^2a\,dsdt. \tag{A.9}$$

We have also the relation :

$$(\partial_{x_1}A_2-\partial_{x_2}A_1)\,dx_1\wedge dx_2=\left(\partial_s\tilde{A}_2-\partial_t\tilde{A}_1\right)a^{-1}ds\wedge dt,$$

which gives,

$$\operatorname{curl}_{(x_1,x_2)}A=(1-t\kappa_{\rm r}(s))^{-1}\operatorname{curl}_{(s,t)}\tilde{A}.$$

We give in the next proposition a standard choice of gauge.

Proposition A.2. *Consider a vector field $A=(A_1,A_2)\in C^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^2;\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that*

$$\operatorname{curl}A=1\quad in\ \mathbb{R}^2.$$

For each point $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, *there exist a neighborhood* $\mathcal{V}_{x_0} \subset \mathcal{N}_{t_0}$ *of* x_0 *and a smooth real-valued function* ϕ_{x_0} *such that the vector field* $A_{new} := A - \nabla\phi_{x_0}$ *satisfies in* $\mathcal{V}_{x_0}$ *:*

$$\tilde{A}^2_{new} = 0, \tag{A.10}$$

and,

$$\tilde{A}^1_{new} = -t\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\kappa_{\mathrm{r}}(s)\right), \tag{A.11}$$

with $\tilde{A}_{new} = (\tilde{A}^1_{new}, \tilde{A}^2_{new})$.

References

[1] V. Bonnaillie. On the fundamental state energy for a Schrödinger operator with magnetic field in domains with corners. *Asymptot. Anal.* **41** no. 3-4, 215-258 (2005).

[2] Y. Colin de Verdière. L'asymptotique de Weyl pour les bouteilles magnétiques. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **105** 327-335 (1986).

[3] M. Dauge, B. Helffer. Eigenvalues variation I. *J. Differ. Equations* **104** 243-262 (1993).

[4] S. Fournais, B. Helffer. Accurate eigenvalue asymptotics for the magnetic Neumann Laplacian. *Ann. Inst. Fourier.* **56** (1) 1-67 (2006).

[5] R. Frank. On the asymptotic number of edge states for magnetic Schrödinger operators. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **95** (1) 1-19 (2007).

[6] P.G. de Gennes. *Superconductivity of metals and alloys.* Benjamin, New York, 1966.

[7] B. Helffer, A. Morame. Magnetic bottles in connection with superconductivity. *J. Func. Anal.* **181** (2) 604-680 (2001).

[8] V. Ivrii. *Microlocal Analysis and Precise Spectral Asymptotics.* Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.

[9] A. Kachmar. On the ground state energy for a magnetic Schrödinger operator and the effect of the de Gennes boundary condition. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **332** 701-706 (2006).

[10] A. Kachmar. On the ground state energy for a magnetic Schrödinger operator and the effect of the De Gennes boundary condition. *J. Math. Phys.* **47** (7) 072106 (32 pp.) 2006.

[11] A. Kachmar. On the stability of normal states for a generalized Ginzburg-Landau model. *Asymptot. Anal.* **55** (3-4) 145-201 (2007).

[12] A. Kachmar. On the perfect superconducting solution for a generalized Ginzburg-Landau equation. *Asymptot. Anal.* **54** (3-4) 125-164 (2007).

[13] A. Kachmar. Magnetic Ginzburg-Landau functional with discontinuous constraint. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **346** (5-6) 297-300 (2008).

[14] A. Kachmar. *Problèmes aux limites issus de la supraconductivité.* Ph.D. thesis at University Paris-Sud/Orsay, (2007), `www.math.u-psud.fr/~kachmar`.

[15] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.

[16] A. Persson. Bounds for the discrete part of the spectrum of a semi-bounded Shrödinger operator. *Math. Scand.* **8** 143-153 (1960).

[17] M. Reed, B. Simon. *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics VI: Analysis of Operators.* Academic Press, New York, 1979.

[18] H. Tamura. Asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues for Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields. *Nagoya Math. J.* **105** 49-69 (1987).

[19] F. Truc. Semiclassical asymptotics for magnetic bottles. *Asymptot. Anal.* **15** no. 3-4, 385-395 (1997).

A. Kachmar
Université Paris-Sud
Département de mathématique
Bât. 425, F-91405 Orsay France
E-mail address: `ayman.kachmar@math.u-psud.fr`